UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/581,917	06/06/2006	Hitoshi Sato	4700.P0331US	9109	
	7590 10/28/200 L BOUTELL & TANIS	EXAMINER			
2026 RAMBLII	NG ROAD	O BRIEN, JEFFREY D			
KALAMAZOO, MI 49008-1631			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3677		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/28/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applica	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
		10/581,	917	SATO ET AL.		
		Examin	er	Art Unit		
		Jeffrey (D'Brien	3677		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with th	e correspondence a	ddress	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr period for reply is specified above, the maximum st e to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DATE OF To f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no on the individual of t	THIS COMMUNICATI event, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for optication to become ABANDO	ON. The timely filed rom the mailing date of this entry (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠ 2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is FINAL . Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters,		e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers	re withdrawn from o				
10) 🖾	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on 15 August 20 Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	008 is/are: a)⊠ acc ction to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Sired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Fination Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) * No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 3677

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2003304316 herein referred to as '316 in view of Tseng et al. (US 6,587,333) herein referred to as '333. (US 7,158,816 which claims foreign priority to the Japanese application of JP 2003304316 has been used as an approximate English translation of the Japanese document).
- 3. For Claim 1, '316 discloses a two-shaft hinge having a rotation shaft (Fig. 3: 312) and an opening/closing shaft (Fig. 5: 321), comprising: a rotation torque unit (Fig. 3) in which a plurality of rotation torque generating portions are provided on the rotation shaft, the rotation torque generating portion being assembled by putting a coil spring (Fig. 3: 315) around an outer periphery of the rotation shaft (312) and by abutting a fixing cam (313a, 313b) and a rotating cam (314a, 314b) on both ends of the coil spring (315); and an opening/closing torque unit (Fig. 4) in which a plurality of opening/closing torque generating portions are provided on the opening/closing shaft (321), the opening/closing torque generating portion being assembled by putting a coil spring (325) around the opening/closing shaft (321) and by abutting a fixing cam (323) and a rotating cam (324) on one end of the coil spring; wherein, the two-shaft hinge has a two-

Art Unit: 3677

shaft structure in which an axial direction of the rotation shaft and an axial direction of the opening/closing shaft are assembled to a hinge housing to be perpendicular to each other (Fig. 2), the torque units which generate a sliding torque and a click torque at rotation and opening/closing operation function on the rotation shaft and the opening/closing shaft, and the opening/closing torque unit is assembled to either side or both right and left sides of the rotation torque unit.

- 4. '316 further discloses wherein the hinge housing (303) has a first through-hole (as seen in Fig. 3 on the bottom of 303) through which the rotation shaft pierces on one side of the rotation torque unit and a second through-hole (as seen in Fig. 3 on the side of 303) through which a harness wiring can pass on the other side of the rotation torque unit.
- 5. '316 does not teach the fixing cam and rotating cam on **both** ends of the coil spring for the opening/closing shaft. '316 does however teach the use of a fixing cam and rotating cam on the opening/closing shaft and further teaches a fixing cam and rotating cam on both ends of the coil spring for the rotating shaft. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to comprise a plurality of fixing cam and rotating cam elements on both ends of the coil spring, as it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.* See also, MPEP § 2144.05. '316 does not teach the rotation shaft having a penetrating hole. '333 teaches a hinge (Fig. 4) having a rotation shaft (33) having a penetrating hole (332). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at

Art Unit: 3677

the time of the invention to apply the rotation shaft having a penetrating hole of '333 to the hinge of '316 in order to allow a cable to pass between two hinged members.

- 6. For Claim 2, '316 teaches a two-shaft hinge having a rotation shaft (Fig. 3: 312) and an opening/closing shaft (Fig. 5: 321), comprising: a rotation torque unit (Fig. 3) in which a pair of rotation torque generating portions are provided on the rotation shaft, the rotation torque generating portion being assembled by putting a coil spring (Fig. 3: 315) around an outer periphery of the rotation shaft (312) and by abutting a fixing cam (313a, 313b) and a rotating cam (314a, 314b) on one end of the coil spring (315); and an opening/closing torque unit (Fig. 4) in which a plurality of opening/closing torque generating portions are provided on the opening/closing shaft (321), the opening/closing torque generating portion being assembled by putting a coil spring (325) around the opening/closing shaft (321) and by abutting a fixing cam (323) and a rotating cam (324) on one end of the coil spring; wherein, the two-shaft hinge has a two-shaft structure in which an axial direction of the rotation shaft and an axial direction of the opening/closing shaft are assembled to a hinge housing to be perpendicular to each other (Fig. 2), the torque units which generate a sliding torque and a click torque at rotation and opening/closing operation function on the rotation shaft and the opening/closing shaft, and the opening/closing torque unit is assembled to either side or both right and left sides of the rotation torque unit.
- 7. '316 further discloses wherein the hinge housing (303) has a first through-hole (as seen in Fig. 3 on the bottom of 303) through which the rotation shaft pierces on one side of the rotation torque unit and a second through-hole (as seen in Fig. 3 on the side

Art Unit: 3677

of 303) through which a harness wiring can pass on the other side of the rotation torque unit.

- 8. '316 does not teach the fixing cam and rotating cam on **both** ends of the coil spring for the opening/closing shaft. '316 does however teach the use of a fixing cam and rotating cam on the opening/closing shaft and further teaches a fixing cam and rotating cam on both ends of the coil spring for the rotating shaft. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to include a plurality of fixing cam and rotating cam elements on both ends of the coil spring, as it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *St. Regis Paper Co. v. Bemis Co., 193 USPQ 8.* See also, MPEP § 2144.05. '316 does not teach the rotation shaft having a penetrating hole. '333 teaches a hinge (Fig. 4) having a rotation shaft (33) having a penetrating hole (332). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the rotation shaft having a penetrating hole of '333 to the hinge of '316 in order to allow a cable to pass between two hinged members.
- 9. For Claims 3 and 4, '316 teaches the two-shaft hinge according to claim 1, wherein the sliding torque and the click torque are generated by abutting the fixing cam (313a, 323) and the rotating cam (314a, 324) in the plurality of rotation torque and opening/closing torque generating portions provided on the rotation shaft (312) and opening/closing shaft (321), and positions of a groove (concave) and a protrusion (convex) (grooves and protrusions seen in Fig. 3 and Fig. 5) of the cams used by the rotation shaft (312) and opening/closing shaft (321) by pair or a different number of

Art Unit: 3677

cams are combined, whereby the rotation torque unit and opening/closing torque unit which incorporate with the plurality of rotation torque and opening/closing torque generating portions having different torque generation operations is formed, and the torque unit is assembled on the rotation shaft and opening/closing shaft (Fig. 2).

- 10. For Claim 5, '316 teaches the two-shaft hinge wherein a part of a cross section of the rotation shaft and the opening/closing shaft is formed to be a quadrangle or to have a major axis and a minor axis, which is other than a circle, and the rotation shaft and the opening/closing shaft having a shape which allows the fixing cams for rotation and opening/closing used in the rotation and the opening/closing torque generating portions to move in an axial direction of the rotation shaft and the opening/closing shaft but which inhibits them from rotating on a periphery of the rotation shaft, are employed. (See US 7,158,816 Column 6, Line 66 to Column 7, Line 20). Note that those of ordinary skill in the art would appreciate that a modification such as a mere change in shape of a prior art device is a design consideration within the skill of the art. *In re Dailey, 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).*
- 11. For Claim 6, '316 teaches the two-shaft hinge according to claim 1, wherein a stopper mechanism (326, 316, 317) to restrict a rotation angle and an opening/closing angle of the rotation shaft and the opening/closing shaft is mounted so that a rotation range of the rotation shaft and the opening/closing shaft is restricted (See US 7,158,816 Column 10 for a description of the stopper mechanism).
- 12. For Claim 8, '316 does not teach the two-shaft hinge wherein a penetrably holed shaft in which a through-hole is provided at a center of the rotation shaft is used to

Art Unit: 3677

enable a harness wiring. '333 teaches a hinge (Fig. 4) wherein *the* penetrably holed shaft (33) in which a through-hole (332) is provided at a center of the rotation shaft is used to enable a harness wiring (Column 3, Line 58). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to apply the shaft (33) having a through-hole (332) to the hinge of '316 in order to allow for the passing of a cable to connect electrical components housed in the two hinged members.

- 13. For Claim 9, '316 teaches the two-shaft hinge according to claim 1, wherein a case (311) for the rotation shaft (312) and a case (322) for the opening/closing shaft (321) in each of which an outer periphery thereof partially has a groove or a deformed cross section other than a circle are fitted with or fixed to the rotating cams in each of which an outer periphery thereof has a protrusion or a deformed cross section, in order to effectively transmit a sliding torque force and a click torque force, which are generated in the rotating cams used on the rotation shaft and the opening/closing shaft. '316 teaches the case (322) of the opening/closing shaft (321) being deformed (as seen in Fig. 2), but is silent as to the case (311) for of the rotation shaft (312). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the same means for controlling the rotating cams to cause them to rotate with the case as taught for the opening/closing case (322) in order to control the rotating cams to rotate with the rotating case (311).
- 14. For Claim 10, '316 does not teach the two-shaft hinge according to claim 1, wherein the rotating cam used in the rotation torque generating portion is configured to be another member (taken to mean a bottom member of case 6) as a bottom portion to

Art Unit: 3677

which the rotation torque unit is fitted and attached in the hinge housing, whereby reduction in a number of components, reduction in size, and improvement in strength of the hinge housing are achieved. '316 discloses the claimed invention except for the bottom rotating cam being another member. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a bottom member of the case wherein the surface has an integrated cam member, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. 164 (1893). The examiner further notes that "whereby" clauses are given no weight if they express only a necessary result of the structure already recited in the body of claims (see MPEP 2111.04).

Page 8

15. For Claim 11, '316 teaches the two-shaft hinge according to claim 1, wherein the rotation torque unit and the opening/closing torque unit are capable of being assembled as an independent unit, thereafter they are fitted and attached to or screwed into the hinge housing in which a means for fitting or screwing to fix is provided in advance. Examiner notes that this would be considered to be a product-by-process claim due to the limitation "assembled". The patentability of the product does not depend on its method of production. Determination of patentability is based on the product itself. See MPEP 2113. "If the product in the product-by-process claim is the same as or obvious from a product of the prior art, the claim is unpatentable even though the prior product was made by a different process." *In re Thorpe*, 227 USPQ 964, 966 (Fed. Cir. 1985).

Art Unit: 3677

16. For Claim 12, '316 teaches the two-shaft hinge according to claim 1, wherein, for mounting and fixing the two-shaft hinge to a device chassis, a fixing base component (301) adhered to the rotation shaft (312) is added and the two-shaft hinge is fixed by the base, whereby the device chassis is designed easily.

- 17. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2003304316 herein referred to as '316 in view of Tseng et al. (US 6,587,333) herein referred to as '333 and further in view of Katoh (US 5,867,872) herein referred to as '872. (US 7,158,816) which claims foreign priority to the Japanese application of JP 2003304316 has been used as an approximate English translation of the Japanese document).
- 18. For Claim 7, '316 as modified by '333 does not teach the two-shaft hinge wherein a disc spring, a waved plate spring, or a thin plate spring is employed in place of the coil spring which generates an abutting force in the torque generating portions used in the rotation torque unit and the opening/closing torque unit, so that a size is reduced. '872 teaches the use of a disc spring (Fig. 2: 17) to press a rotating cam (16) against a fixed cam (12). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the hinge of '316 as modified by '333, by replacing the coil spring of '316 with the disc springs of '872 in order to reduce the size of the spring member for use in compact electric devices.
- 19. Note for the above rejections, changes in the specific language have not been made as the limitations and rejections from the previous rejection still correspond properly. When addressing claims 1 and 2, the newly added limitations have been more

Art Unit: 3677

thoroughly addressed above. Applicant's arguments regarding rejections will be more adequately and thoroughly addressed below.

Response to Arguments

- 20. Applicant's arguments filed 8/15/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 21. Regarding Claims 1-12, Applicant has argued that specific details are not taught in one reference that have been indicated to be taught in a separate reference. In response to applicant's arguments against the references individually, one cannot show nonobviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).
- 22. Regarding Claims 1 and 2, Applicant argues that '316 does not disclose a penetrating hole in the rotation shaft for a harness wiring. As noted in the above rejection, this limitation is taught by '333. Applicant further argues that one would not combine the shaft of '333 with the hinge of '316, however one of ordinary skill in the art looking to run a cable or wiring harness through a two-shaft hinge would appropriately look to any hinge having cables and wiring running through them. Therefore it would be appropriate to apply the penetrating hole in the shaft of '333 to the hinge of '312 in order to allow for the passing of a cable or wiring harness.
- 23. Applicant further argues that the shaft 312 of '316 does not perpendicularly penetrate through the center axis of the opening/closing shaft. However, it is clear that from Figure 2 that the axes of the opening/closing shaft and rotation shaft intersect

perpendicularly. It is further noted that '316 as modified by '333 would be capable of having a wiring harness or cable run through the center of the shaft, as the shaft would appropriately have a center hole as is taught by '333.

- 24. Regarding Claims 3 and 4, Applicant argues that '316 does not disclose the torque generating portions as claimed. However, it is clear from the disclosure that the torque units are assembled on the shafts and have a plurality of torque generating portions to generate torque by abutting the fixing cams with the rotating cams.
- 25. Regarding Claims 5 and 9, Applicant argues that '316 does not disclose the cross section of the shafts having non-circular portions. However, Column 6, Line 66 to Column 7, Line 20 of the English language equivalent document clearly indicates wherein the shaft has "a part of the side surface thereof... cut" and "the fixed cams 313a and 313b each have a hole corresponding to the sectional shape of the fixed shaft 312". Similar structure is disclosed for the opening/closing shaft.
- 26. Regarding Claim 6, Applicant argues that '316 does not disclose a limit rotation of the shafts, but instead teaches a limit rotation of upper-side body. It is noted however that as the upper side body is attached to the shafts, the limit of one is effectively the limit of the other.
- 27. Regarding Claim 8, Applicant argues the penetrating hole is not taught. '333 discloses a penetrating hole and has been shown to modify '316.
- 28. Regarding Claim 10, Applicant argues that the limitation of the rotation torque portion configured to the bottom portion is not taught. This has been addressed in the previous rejection and above and is reiterated here, that this is merely an integration of

Art Unit: 3677

parts and that it would be obvious to form the cam surface integrally with the bottom surface to reduce parts and simplify manufacturing and assembly.

- 29. Regarding Claim 11, Applicant argues that the feature is not taught. As noted above, this is a product-by-process claim and as the structure is taught by the references, the rejection is appropriate.
- 30. Regarding Claim 12, Applicant is arguing that a base component is not taught. However, as outlined above, 301 is clearly a base component to which the shaft 312 is fixed. It is noted that Applicant is merely arguing the language of these parts and that "a fixing base component" and "a base bracket" are effectively different names for the same piece.
- 31. Regarding Claim 7, Applicant argues that although '872 teaches disk springs, it does not teach waved plate spring or thin plate spring. However, the use of alternative language "or" necessitates only one of the listed items be taught. Further, it is noted that disk springs, waved plate springs, and thing plate springs are all well known elements and that it would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute these elements for one another.

Art Unit: 3677

Conclusion

32. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey O'Brien whose telephone number is (571)270-3655. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:00am-5:30pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Victor Batson can be reached on 571-272-6987. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Victor Batson/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3677

JO/